pjs64

Resource Saving Minimalism



**Tools** Scientifically Approved

pjs64				
Blog-roller	•	No-Kite-Surfer	•	Org-mode Rookie
pjs64.wordpress.	$\operatorname{com}$			2022 Somewhere

#### CONTENTS

## Contents

Ι	Check Twinkle ChucK	4
1.	Two Twinkle Bars	4
2.	Putting up a Table	7
3.	Getting Note Names	8
4.	Building the Score	12
5.	Frequency-versus-Time Graph	16
6.	Recording ChucK to WAV	18
7.	Audio Illustrations7.1. Normalize and Mono7.2. Wave Spectrum Class7.3. Fundamental Frequency7.4. Time Series7.5. Smoothing7.6. Melody Plot7.7. Note Quantization7.8. Lilypond Output7.9. Quantplot	20 22 23 26 28 29 30 31 32 33
8.	What's more	34
9.	ApxA – ChucK on Ubuntu and Arch9.1.Ubuntu9.2.Endeavor OS – Arch9.3.Compilation	<b>39</b> 40 41 42
10	.Apx B – Org Mode Scripting of ChucK	44
11	.Apx C – ChucK Sources	47

3

# Part I Check Twinkle ChucK

4

The BABEL section of ORG MODE delivers all means to act as the control center for composing just anything: slide show, presentation, song, movie, 3D printing; any kind of process control. Here's the part about music with the main focus of translating a basic CHUCK composition to a musical score in LILYPOND with R analysis tools in a GNU LINUX environment. The appendices expand on CHUCK matters. Is this a way to Memphis?

## 1 Two Twinkle Bars

The concepts of [6]'s first Chapter Basics: Sound, Waves, and ChucK Programming are condensed in E Listing1.20.ck available at GITHUB. It defines two patches, i.e. sound signal chains, two float, and one dur variable.

```
// Twinkle, with two oscillators!
SinOsc s => dac; // (1) Sine wave oscillator.
TriOsc t => dac; // (2) Another oscillator (triangle wave).
// our main pitch variable
110.0 => float melody; // (3) Sets initial pitch.
// gain control for our triangle wave melody
0.3 => float onGain; // (4) Gains for note on.
// we'll use this for our on and off times
0.3 :: second => dur myDur; // (5) Notes duration.
```

Then it initializes both voices – the melody with a gain of onGain, the bass with 0 – and increases the frequency of the melody, i.e., the TriOsc instance t, from 110 to 220 Hz in 1 Hz steps of 10 ms resulting in a duration of

```
onGain => t.gain; // (6) Turns on triangle oscillator.
0 => s.gain; // (7) Turns off sine osc.
while (melody < 220.0) { // (8) Loops until pitch reaches 220.
    melody => t.freq;
    1.0 +=> melody; // (9) Steps up pitch by 1 Hz.
    0.01 :: second => now; // (10) Every 1/100 of a second.
}
```

In the context of the book's chapter the technical advancement of this code snippet is the realization of a "sweeping pitch upward" by using a while loop. The next loop is a for loop and advances the time 0.6 seconds twice for both voices and switches the melody note on and off.

```
// turn both on, set up the pitches
                        // (11) Now turn on sin osc too.
0.7 => s.gain;
110 => s.freq;
                        // (12) ...and initialize its pitch.
// play two notes, 1st "Twinkle"
for (0 => int i; i < 2; i++) { // (13) Use a for loop to play two
\rightarrownotes.
   onGain => t.gain; // (14) Turn on triangle.
   myDur => now;
                         // (15) Let note play.
   0 \Rightarrow t.gain;
                        // (16) Turn off triangle.
   myDur => now;
                          // (17) Silence to separate notes.
}
```

This loop is repeated three times. The result of the first for loop: the bass plays a 110 Hz sine wave with a volume od 0.7 while the triangle wave puts two 0.3-gain melody stacatto quarters of 220 Hz above it. The second for loop is prepared by another set of frequencies for melody and bass

```
138.6 => s.freq; // (19) Sets up next "twinkle" frequency.
1.5*melody => t.freq;
```

#### Loud Sine Wave?

The recognized volume of different waveforms may not be equal for the same gain value. This is an issue not being discussed here, but it is triggered by the terms *root mean square* and *deciBel*, or, mathematically, by the integral of the wave. I'd recommend Jérôme Sueur's book *Sound Analysis and Synthesis with* R [14] which connects *rms* and *dB* in a section of 8 pages.<sup>*a*</sup> In contrast the air pressure of the sound depends on the speakers. For example I hardly can hear the bass line on my laptop speakers.

<sup>a</sup>Subsection 2.2.3 Amplitude in Section 2.2 Sound as a Mechanical Wave of [14]'s Chapter 2 What is sound?. This introduction is complemented by 18 pages of Chapter 7 Amplitude Parameterization.



From these sets of frequencies I can't guess the note names. I could look them up in tables. CHUCK offers frequency to MIDI conversion. To get the pitch from a frequency I'll introduce the R packages seewave, soundgen, tabr, and tuneR in Section 3. In the current section I just finish collecting all frequencies and durations used in the sequence.

The frequencies of the bass line and the next two quarters for the word "little" are set by

They are again played with the same **for** loop. Bass and melody for the word "star" is set and played by

```
138.6 => s.freq; // (22) Sets up next frequency for "star".
1.5*melody => t.freq;
onGain => t.gain; // (23) Plays that note...
second => now; // (24) ...for a second.
```

The sequence is terminated by decreasing the frequency of the TriOsc instance t from 330 to 0 Hz in 1 Hz and in parallel the SinOsc

instance  ${\tt s}$  from 440 to 0 Hz in 1.333 Hz steps per 10 ms, i.e. a duration of

 $330 \cdot 10 \,\mathrm{ms} = 3.3 \,\mathrm{s}$ 

## 2 Putting up a Table

Table 1 shows the single steps. I tried to design it to illustrate some kind of symmetry. The playing time adds up to a total of exactly 9.0 seconds.

Table 1: The note-on sequence of  $\bowtie$  Listing1.20.ck. First line of a fourrow block denotes the absolute time, the second row the duration of every note or glissando event. The % sign is used for continuation. The reading direction is arranged as circle: the upper 4 rows from left to right, the lower 4 rows back from right to left. mel denotes the triangular oscillator instance t of the melody, bas the sine wave instance s of the bass.

$\mathbf{t}$ $\Delta t$	$egin{array}{c} 0 \  ightarrow \end{array}$	<b>0</b> 1.1	<b>1.1</b> 0.3	$1.4 \\ 0.3$	<b>1.7</b> 0.3	<b>2</b> 0.3	<b>2.3</b> 0.3	<b>2.6</b> 0.3	
mel bas	_	110 <i>7</i> 220 −	220 110	- %	$220\ \%$	- %	$330 \\ 138.6$	- %	
									$\downarrow$
$\mathbf{t}$ $\Delta t$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{9.0} \\ \leftarrow \end{array}$	<b>5.7</b> 3.3	<b>4.7</b> 1	<b>4.4</b> 0.3	<b>4.1</b> 0.3	<b>3.8</b> 0.3	<b>3.5</b> 0.3	<b>3.2</b> 0.3	<b>2.9</b> 0.3
mel bas	0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0\swarrow 330\\ 0\swarrow 440 \end{array}$	$\frac{330}{138.6}$	- %	370.41 %	- %	$370.41 \\ 146.8$	- %	330 %

## **3** Getting Note Names

The R packages I mentioned above offer tools related to sound. I've collected a few items about these packages with a focus on frequency-to-notename conversion. As the first entry I also mention an EMACS solution. The first seewave list item presents the Math for *equal temperament*. For me the last, not least at all, entry tabr is a very recent discovery.

- The calc mode<sup>1</sup> of the EMACS CALCULATOR is switched on and off by C-x \* c. In this mode the user can enter a frequency value with a unit introduced by the algebraic-mode apostrophe selector as ' 440 Hz [RET], then hit is (ell .. ess) to get A\_4 representing the *scientific pitch notation*. The usage of the EMACS CALCULATOR for the frequency estimation is demonstrated in the next list item about seewave in Table 2.
- The R package seewave doesn't provide frequency-to-notename conversion, but the seewave author's book [14] discusses some alternatives<sup>2</sup> and the documentation of notefreq() shows the equation for *equal temperament*, which could be solved for *n* to get something like a freqnote() function.

The function notefreq() accepts (1) a note *n* entered as a number

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Any of the EMACS CALCULATOR modes is supposed to work like invoking a calculator app from the desktop; see the CALC Manual's Section Using Calc. There's also an ORG MODE source code version of CALC neatly arranged with ELISP – see CALC Manual's Section Calling Calc from Your Lisp Programs – and one for ORG's spreadsheet feature of tables; see ORG Manual's Section Formula syntax for Calc. s are the keys for calc-spn, overloaded with an input integer type for MIDI and a unit type in Hz or 1/s or their kilo, mega or whatever versions; see CALC Manual's Section Musical Notes. Well, some folks might be uncomfortable with note names like Asharp\_2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>His Subsection 9.4.2 Musical Scale in Section 9.4 Frequency Scales of [14]'s Chapter Introduction to Frequency Analysis: The Fourier Transformation is part of a two part classification in 9.4.1 Bark and Mel Scale and 9.4.2 Musical Scale. His reference for the cochlea related Bark Scale is [16], for the psychological Mel Scale [13]. And he points to the tuneR function mel2hz() which works with a parameter htk representing the Hidden Markov Model Toolkit presented at [htk.eng.cam.ac.uk]. But these scales are more like measurement scales.

or as a string like Ab, B, or C#, (2) an octave index i, and (3) a ref frequency r. The resulting frequency f is

$$f(n,i,r) = r \cdot 2^{i-3} 2^{\frac{n-10}{12}}; \quad \text{use} \quad x^{\frac{m}{n}} = \sqrt[n]{x^m}$$
$$= r \cdot 2^{i-3} \sqrt[12]{2^{n-10}} = r \frac{2^i}{2^3} \frac{\sqrt[12]{2^n}}{\sqrt[12]{2^{10}}} \approx \frac{2^i r \sqrt[12]{2^n}}{14.2544}$$

Approximated with an accuracy of six significant numbers. Table 2 shows the EMACS CALCULATOR keystrokes for  $1/(2^3 \sqrt[12]{2^{10}})$  in the frequency estimation.

Table 2: The EMACS CALCULATOR keystrokes in calc mode, switched on and off by C-x \* c. The [TAB] key swaps the last two entries; see Section Stack Manipulation Commands in the CALC Manual.

keystrokes	result
1[RET]12[RET]/	$\rightarrow 0.0833333333333333$
2[RET]10[RET]^	$\rightarrow 1024$
[TAB] ^	$\rightarrow 1.78179743628$
8[RET]*	$\rightarrow 14.2543794902$
1 [RET] [TAB] /	ightarrow 0.0701538780196

For the default i=3 the function can be reduced to

$$f = r \cdot 2^{\frac{n-10}{12}} = r \frac{\sqrt[12]{2^n}}{\sqrt[12]{2^{10}}} \approx r \sqrt[12]{2^n} \cdot 0.561231$$

For C3 with n = 1 that's 261.626 or the F<sup> $\sharp$ </sup>3 from Table 3 the n = 7 delivers 369.994. According to the corresponding code the CHUCK'ers offer 370.414. Cool, I've gathered enough knowledge to enter the nitpicking stage.

For the note A3 which has a rank of n = 10 I can reduce the first term's n - 10 to 0 and with  $a^0 = 1$  this reduction is further simplified to f = r, with an r default of 440.

With the help of these elaborations the dear reader might figure out any frequency with a handheld calculator. Note that the *rank*  number n is neither confined to the range [1..12] nor to integers.<sup>3</sup> So, for example, it can map to any of the semitone functions below. Potential decimal parts are representing the musical cent unit which is supposed to change the logarithmic distance of two consecutive notes into a hundred equidistant pieces.

- seewave also offers a related octaves() function. It's invoked like octaves(f,b,a) and returns the frequency values of b octaves below and a octaves above a specific frequency f; octaves(220, b=1,a=2) gives the numeric vector 110 220 440 880 bold emphasis added.
- soundgen .. HzToSemitones() and semitonesToHz() convert a frequency in Hz into a halftone position and vice versa along a full scale of musical notes, that is, a scale starting with a C note at 16.4 Hz in the infrasound domain and ending with a B note at 31608.5 Hz in the ultrasound domain. With the soundgen dataset notesDict the user can turn a soundgen semitone into a pitch.
- tuneR .. noteFromFF() returns an integer valued semitone difference to A3.<sup>4</sup> The function noteFromFF() transforms the frequency value x to the integer valued 12th root of a frequency-to-diapason relation including a logarithmic tweaking variable roundshift.

notesFromFF <- round(12 \* log(x / diapason, 2) + roundshift)</pre>

For example, the frequency 493 Hz yields 2, the B note of the third octave or b'.

The notenames() function translates this numeric difference to a note name's string like c'. Moreover the function lilyinput() -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The author calls *n* the *rank* of the note. The main code in the function notefreq() maps the sequence c("C", "C#", "D", "D#", "E", "F", "F#","G", "G#", "A", "A#", "B") or the harmonic equivalents Db, Eb, Gb, Ab, Bb tothe rank numbers 1:12 – excluding the combinations E#, Fb, B#, and Cb. The octaveindex is related to pitch notation reasoning discussed in the WIKIPEDIA entries*Octave*or*Scientific Pitch Notation*and begins to reach into negative values beyondC0 with 16.35 Hz. It allows the user to assign a note name to*every*frequency: $color, <math>\gamma$ -rays, ionization energy.

 $<sup>^4\</sup>mathrm{A3}$  is the A of the third octave also written a'.

and a data-preprocessing function quantMerge() – can prepare a data frame to be presented as sheet music by postprocessing with the LILYPOND. The lilyinput() help includes a maturity caveat. For this purpose the features of tabr seem much more advanced.

• tabr .. freq\_pitch() turns a frequency into a pitch. With the parameter octaves the user can choose *tick* or *integer* output, the accidentals can be set to *flat* or *sharp*, and a4 is offered to be set to something different from the default of 440. A vector input can be collapse'd.

tabr defines its semitones along the MIDI numbers. The corresponding freq\_semitones() function delivers numbers with expanded range above 127 and below 0.

Table 3 shows a selection of the R functions. The corresponding R code starts from the values in the CHUCK script  $\bowtie$  Listing1.20.ck. The rows of Table 3 are

- tuneR tuneR's notenames() which depends on input from noteFromFF()
- tfpt tabr's outputs of a sharply ticked freq\_pitch()'es, which match the LILYPOND representation
- tfpi tabr's the sharp integer version of freq\_pitch()
- midi the tabr freq\_semitones() that represent the MIDI values
- sghs the soundgen HzToSemitones()
- sndp the lookup result in SOUNDGEN's notesDict

```
f <- c(110,138.6,146.8,220,1.5*220,1.6837*220,440);
n <- tuneR::noteFromFF(f);
p <- tuneR::notenames(n);
t <- tabr::freq_pitch(f,ac="sharp");
i <- tabr::freq_pitch(f,ac="sharp",o="integer");
s <- round(tabr::freq_semitones(f));
g <- round(soundgen::HzToSemitones(f));
d <- soundgen::notesDict[1+g,1];
rbind(Hz=round(f,1),tuneR=p, tfpt=t, tfpi=i,
midi=s, sghs=g, sndp=d)
```

**Table 3:** Frequency-to-note comparison of R packages one row of tuneR, three rows of tabr, and two rows of soundgen. See the text and the code for details.

Hz	110	138.6	146.8	220	330	370.4	440
$\operatorname{tuneR}$	Α	$\mathbf{c}\#$	d	a	e'	f <b></b> ∰'	$\mathbf{a}'$
$\operatorname{tfpt}$	a,	$\mathbf{c}\#$	d	a	e'	f#'	$\mathbf{a}'$
tfpi	a2	$\mathbf{c}\#$	d	a	e4	f#4	a4
midi	45	49	50	57	64	66	69
sghs	93	97	98	105	112	114	117
$\operatorname{sndp}$	A2	C#3	D3	A3	E4	F#4	A4

## 4 Building the Score

In formal music the first event of the Twinkle impro, the upward pitch, already leads into trouble. It has to be related to the song it is part of. How long is it compared to a quarter note of the song's rhythm? How do I translate the subsequent sound constructions of the CHUCK script to beats and bars?

To get a clue I first go to the score of the Twinkle song at musescore.com or musicsheets.org. These pages trigger some other thoughts about the piece of music and the composer's intentions. musicsheets.org identifies the two names W.A. Mozart and C.E. Holmes, an Andante tempo of 100 quarters per minute, and a B<sup>b</sup> major key for 14 instruments extended by some snare-basedrum percussions. While the musescore.com example is an "easy" version in C major with two voices. The WIKIPEDIA entry for Twinkle confirms the C major key.

Usually the key of a song can be derived from the pitch of the last melody note. In the case of Twinkle the melody also *begins* with this *root note*. The CHUCK composers choose the frequency 220 Hz, i.e., an A\_3 in scientific pitch notation. They, however, introduce a "main pitch variable" as melody and assign a value of 110 Hz to it. This melody frequency doesn't show up in the melody, only in the decorations of the snippet and in the bass line.

Comparing the CHUCK sequence illustrated by Table 1 to both scores at musescore.com and musicsheets.org a quarter note is 0.6

seconds and the quarter notes of the melody are played staccato.<sup>5</sup> For the tempo information near the clef I choose quarters per minute; see Figure 1. If one quarter takes 0.6 seconds one minute contains ...

$$\frac{1 \text{ beat}}{0.6 \text{ s}} = \frac{x \text{ beats}}{60 \text{ s}}; \quad x = \frac{1 \text{ beat} \cdot 60 \text{ s}}{0.6 \text{ s}} = 100 \text{ beats}$$

For the melody I use a treble or G clef transposed one octave down, the bass line is fine with a bass clef. The glissandi need some more effort. And the duration of the last Twinkle melody note has to be fit in somehow. In the order of appearance I discuss three topics.

- The 1.1s of the upward glissando, expressed in terms of 0.6s quarter pieces, has a lenght of one quarter plus one quaver plus two 16ths of a 16th triplet. Or a half note minus a 16th triplet note. And it consumes the position of an upbeat. I decide to transfer this glissando to a length of 1.2s.
- The last note of the seven-note Twinkle sequence should be a half note and would take 1.2 s then, but the CHUCK'ers decide for a length of one second. In the context of the song's beat I could mix the last note with the subsequent downward glissando. But I decide to expand it to a half note and push the glissando to the next bar.
- The decreasing unit after the short last note raised the question if I should construct the glissandi to be parallel or should I exactly reproduce the downward pitches no matter of their musical context? What about the fact that the upper decrease is played with the bass wave, and the lower one with the melody wave?

The end sequence with two 3.3s downward glissandi can be matched to five quarters plus one quaver. I expand this duration to one and a half note, so the relation from up to downward pitch is maintained. The whole sequence then takes exactly four bars.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>According to the corresponding WIKIPEDIA entry a regular staccato shortens a note by 50%. The entry quotes the music notation program Sibelius, particularly the 2008 reference manual of version 5.2.

The downward pitches ar much harder to translate. Each of the final glissandi ends with 0 Hz; nobody can hear or play that. For an exact replication of both glissandi I'd have to calculate the time when the glissando which controls the loop from 330 Hz to 0 Hz arrives at, say,  $C_0$ , i.e.,  $\approx 16.35$  Hz. And transfer this result to the glissando from 440 Hz to 0 Hz which probably leads to a slightly later moment, I guess. The time series illustration in Figure 2 only shows the moment for the fundamental frequency of the whole sound, i.e. the louder bass line.

I decide to call the situation indeterminable and interpret the glitches as glissandi beginning at  $330 \text{ Hz} \text{ E}_4$  and 440 Hz,  $A_4$ . I construct them to be parallel and to consume a range of two octaves, which end at  $\text{E}_2$  or  $A_2$ , respectively. The bass-above-melody issue stays untouched.

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Lilypond Learning  $\rightarrow 1$  Tutorial  $\rightarrow 1.2$  How to write input files  $\rightarrow 1.2.1$  Simple notation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Lilypond Notation  $\rightarrow$  1 Musical notation  $\rightarrow$  1.1 Pitches  $\rightarrow$  1.1.1 Writing Piches  $\rightarrow$  1.1.1.4 Note Names in Other Languages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>ibid.  $\rightarrow 1.1.3.1$  Clef.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>ibid.  $\rightarrow$  1.1.3.2 Key Signatures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>ibid.  $\rightarrow$  1.2 Rhythms  $\rightarrow$  1.2.3 Displaying Rhythms  $\rightarrow$  1.2.3.2 Metronome Marks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>ibid.  $\rightarrow 1.5$  Simultaneous notes  $\rightarrow 1.5.2$  Multiple Voices  $\rightarrow 1.5.2.6$  Writing Music in Parallel. The the trigger symbol for this parallel notation is the vertical line.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>Languages$  in the source code chapter of the ORG Manual.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>At LILYPOND's GITHUB repository in the  $\bowtie$  lilypond/elisp/ folder or mjago's emacs repo in the  $\bowtie$  lilypond/ folder.

terested reader can get an impression of LILYPOND at work in an ORG MODE source block with the :noweb feature applied.

```
\parallelMusic melody,base
   { \tempo 4 = 100
   % bar 1
                           % bar 2
   r2 a,4\glissando a
                                        e'e'l
                           a4
                                 а
   r1
                           a.2
                                        cis |
   % bar 3
                           % bar 4
   fis'4 fis'
                           e'1\glissando e,2 r2 |
                e'2
                           a'1\glissando a,2 r2 |
   d
                cis
 }
   \new StaffGroup <<</pre>
     \new Staff { \clef "treble_8" \key a \major \melody }
     \new Staff { \clef bass \key a \major \base }
   >>
```



Fig. 1: CHUCK's decorated Twinkle snippet; put into the musical context of a four bar loop.

To configure a short score snippet without the LILYPOND default of a surrounding page like shown in Figure 1 I included a preamble with the :noweb switch. The Notations Manual's *Spacing Issues* Chapter<sup>14</sup> deals with the value of its page-breaking attribute. While the markup settings are part of the Chapter *General Input and Output*.<sup>15</sup>

```
\version "2.20.0"
\paper{page-breaking = #ly:one-line-breaking
indent=0\mm % line-width=170\mm
oddFooterMarkup=##f oddHeaderMarkup=##f
bookTitleMarkup=##f scoreTitleMarkup=##f }
```

<sup>14</sup>Lilypond Notation  $\rightarrow$  4 Spacing Issues  $\rightarrow$  4.3. Breaks  $\rightarrow$  4.3.2 Page Breaking  $\rightarrow$  4.3.2.5 One-line Page Breaking.

<sup>15</sup>ibid.  $\rightarrow$  3 General input and output  $\rightarrow$  3.2 Titles and headers  $\rightarrow$  3.2.2 Custom titles, headers, and footers  $\rightarrow$  3.2.2.2 Custom layout for titles and 3.2.2.3 Custom layout for headers and footers.

Developing the skills for managing LILYPOND in EMACS may take years but I think I named all the essential parts for this special purpose.

## 5 Frequency-versus-Time Graph

For the graph in this section I use results from Section 7 which analyzes the WAV file produced in the next section. Note that this section, *Frequency-versus-Time Graph*, doesn't reproduce the fine-tuned musical score from the previous section. Instead it gets frequency and time information from the recorded output of the original  $\supseteq$  Listing1.20.ck employing the tuneR function FF() without further explanation. Section 7 then delivers more insight but still doesn't touch much of the theoretical basics.

The inspiration for this section is to find a programmatic counterpart of ABLETON's warping feature or its audio-to-MIDI converter. AUDACITY offers similar ideas in its beat finder, regular interval labels, and label track at manual.audacityteam.org. And methods outlined by *Advances in Musical Information Retrieval* [10] deliver much more inspiration.

The attribute "frequency versus time" should lead to the undecorated appearance of a piano roll, of illustrating a song like a Gantt Diagram. The common nominator for the illustration of timely events like music is something in the realm of time series. Time series usually concentrate on continuous signals with high data or sampling rates resulting in immense data traffic. *Reducing* this sea of data to events made the ATARI NOTATOR *the* tool for the digital evolution of musical ambitions.



In contrast, *expanding* the realm of digital workstations to real time sound design makes the current software constructions eating up every bit of memory they manage to grab. Freezing the results of this additional work has become a main feature of the software. With this procedures the software could be scaled back to on and off events with dynamic settings and probably run easily on a 8086 processor

or an arduino. For CHUCK the users can compare their expectations of the software in the virtual machine processes, discussed in 3.5 Properties of [15]'s ChucK Chapter and introduced by Section 3.4 System Design and Implementation.

I consider wavetable synthesis as a link between freezing and in-situ processing. Its purpose is introduced in Section 2 The Evolution of Sound Synthesis of Peter Manning's contribution [8] to Dean's Oxford Handbook of Computer Music: "The processing overheads involved in repeatedly calculating each waveform sample from first principles are significant, and it occurred to Mathews that significant savings could be produced in this regard by calculating the required waveform only once and then using a suitable table lookup procedure to extract this information as the required frequency." Manning is referring to Mathew's Technology of Computer Music [9]; no specific passage.

For a start I'll enjoy the graph and discover the data for note-on and note-off events. On the way I hope to get some hints for control parameters in sound design or for note decorations. The graphs melodyplot(), quantplot() and their data are the targets of the event task. The process should motivate digging deeper into Fourier and spectral analysis.

The frequency versus time plot in Figure 2 is made with the help of periodogram() and FF(). With an anticipation of Section 7 about the main tuneR example it delivers 774 frequency values for my WAV file. I don't ask why.

All I need the 774 parts for is to construct a proper time series. The **plot()** function recognizes the time series and connects to **plot.ts()**. The experienced ORG MODE babbler knows how to transform these lines into a PNG plot and include it in this document; see the ORG source of this blog entry at **bitbucket**.

```
oldPar <- par(mar=c(2,2,0,0)+0.1);
plot(ts1.20,type="h",col="grey85");
abline(h=c(110,220,440),col="grey50",lty="dashed");
```

While the time series class delivers an appropriate plot, the usual time series functions seem unappropriate for making an event list, but



**Fig. 2:** Frequency versus time plot read from the recorded sequence of Listing1.20.ck with a series of tuneR functions. They detect the louder bass line and the upward glissando at the start.

I'm not an experinced time series analyst. I'll go with exploratory data analysis. Provided with the graphical feedback I can read 6 ranges into the raw data. The limit indices of the ranges indicate time data; each second contains 774/9 = 86 values, that's about 11.6 ms per value. The first and the last range can be least square fit to linear slopes, the other four ranges can be feed into boxplots. Then I can change the parameters of periodogram() or FF() systematically to see the effects.

## 6 Recording ChucK to WAV

The code below shows the patch line definitions from the CHUCK script B 1st1-20rec.ck which records to B 1st1-20rec.wav. It produces a mono track at the left channel.



The analysis below in Section 7 prefers to recognize the bass line. For now there are enough procedures to discover, so I don't offer the procedures to split the melody and the bass line to different channels. It's more interesting to discover the functions' preference for the bass line. Stereo sound is

one topic of [6]'s second chapter.

First I have to change the patch lines. The original file <code>E\_Listing1.20.ck</code> begins with

SinOsc s => dac;	11	(1)	Sine wave oscillator.
TriOsc t => dac;	//	(2)	Another oscillator (triangle wave).

For recording I put the SinOsc instance s through the Gain instance named master into the WvOut sound buffer instance named w which then disappears in the blackhole nirwana. Then I can connect the melody line to the master track.

```
SinOsc s => Gain master => WvOut w => blackhole; // (1) bass chain TriOsc t => master; // (2) melody chain
```

After that I copy the definitions of the variables melody, onGain, and myDur and the settings of the .gain attributes for melody and bass lines. This is exactly like in  $\succeq$  Listing1.20.ck, line 7-21, so I can insert these lines without comments and don't show them here.

The next part prepares for recording. It reads the current path of the record script, names the output file, connects path and file name, assigns the result to the .wavFilename attribute of the WvOut instance w, and finally turns on the .record feature of w.

```
me.dir() => string path; // (3) get file path
"/lst1-20rec.wav" => string fileRec; // (4) target file
path+fileRec => fileRec; // (5) add the path
fileRec => w.wavFilename; // (6) choose the record file
w.record(1); // (7) press the record button
```

After inserting the rest of  $\bowtie$  Listing1.20.ck, line 22-end, the recording has to be finished and the file to be closed.

```
w.record(0); // (8) press the stop button
w.closeFile; // (9) close the record file
```

For invoking CHUCK in LINUX it's important to set the sampling rate to 44100 Hz; on LINUX the default is 48 kHz.

cd musRoot/chuck
chuck --srate44100 lst1-20rec.ck

The patch ending in the blackhole still takes as long as the regular output to dac. But there's a tremendous time warp for using the --silent option.

```
cd iMuMy/Chuck
chuck --srate44100 --silent lst1-20rec.ck
```

Both execute the task properly but terminate with a warning. I think I recognized this warning generally in the context of using WvOut, but it also maybe with LiSa or generally with sound buffers.

```
terminate called without an active exception Aborted (core dumped)
```

Timing the commands confirms my manual measurement of 11 seconds. And it shows  $0.4 \,\mathrm{s}$  for the silent version.

time	blackhole	+silent
real	0m10,873s	0m0,408s
user	0m0,573s	0m0,124s
sys	0m0,351s	0m0,005s

For filing I compress the resulting WAVE file to FLAC. After taping I've got three new files to work with. A 3k CHUCK script, a 794k WAV, and a 246k FLAC file. And I'm all set for the ...

## 7 Audio Illustrations

I refrained from calling this section "sound analysis" because it just provides a coarse introduction to collected tools without scientific distraction. The collection is a set of functions picked from the main example for tuneR.<sup>16</sup> I've chosen tuneR instead of seewave, because tuneR seems closer to the programmatic background. While seewave offers plenty of parameters to avoid the ... channel to the underlying functions and includes switches for optional plots it also makes it hard to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>In later versions the LILYPOND part of this section will be supplemented significantly by the exploration of the R package tabr.

customize very basic settings, because it hides the structure. Meaning that – for the matter of skill building – I prefer tuneR's UNIX like aproach of many small programs to the highly integrated seewave solutions. After this learning phase I will probably acccept seewave's help. I don't assume this as a *better* way, it's just my way; greetings from Frank Sinatra.

On my way to a notation compatible with LILYPOND I skip some distractions from the tune R example. I don't

- have to produce a sine wave, because I produced my own WAV source with CHUCK in the previous section.
- pay attention to downsample() or the interactive extractWave().
- dig into spectral density theory and smoothing techniques which usually should be helpful for understanding the tuneR functions periodogram() and FF().

In the subsections I look at tuneR plots and I offer some bridges which are about to help me arriving at sound design. The code below delivers the data for the rest of the main tuneR example, employing the functions readWave(), normalize(), mono(), periodogram(), FF(), noteFromFF().

Unfortunately the main example for tuneR is unsufficiently commented. Comments usually come as a tautology like "the function periodogram() produces a periodogram." Fortunately the author of [14] seems to follow the same track<sup>17</sup> without explicitly referencing the main tuneR example but with more detailed explanations. At least he follows

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>In Subsubsction 13.1.2.2 tuneR Solutions, Subsection 13.1.2 Fundamental Frequency, Section 13.1 Frequency Tracking, of [14]'s Chapter 13 Frequency and Energy Tracking.

the line of applying periodogram(), FF(),<sup>18</sup>] noteFromFF(), notenames(), melodyplot(), quantize(), and quantplot(). He puts these tuneR functions into a dominant and fundamental frequency context's comparison of

- seewave's dfreq() and fund()
- phonTools's pitchtrack()
- soundgen's analyze().<sup>19</sup>

#### 7.1 Normalize and Mono

In the main tuneR example normalize() and mono() prepare the data for periodogram(). What are these functions doing and to what degree are they essential for the process?

normalize() turns the 16 bit integer values of the amplitude from a range of  $\pm 32767$  or  $\pm (2^{16}-1)$ , into a real-valued range of [-1, +1]. And it *centers* the values which has the effect that the mean of all values is leveled to zero. To make it comparable to the original wave I multiply the result with 32760, the maximum value of input wave; see Table 4. Is it needed to deliver a *real*-valued range for the subsequent functions? For the [-1, +1] range? For amplification? Got a distant feeling that it might be helpful for the amplitude envelope discussed in Section 7.5. Just listillo guesses.

```
tmpfile <- "./iMuMy/Chuck/lst1-20rec.wav";
wv <- tuneR::readWave(tmpfile); # str(wv)
wn <- tuneR::normalize(wv);
rbind(wav=summary(wv@left),norm=round(32760*summary(wn@left)));
```

<sup>19</sup>The package offered a very detailed vignette about *Acoustic Analysis*, removed at 2022-12-10 from the CRAN repository due to updated package size restrictions. The reader might be lucky to still find it as a snapshot at mran.microsoft.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>The author calls FF() a wrapper of FFpure() and links it to the short-time discrete Fourier transform (STDFT) matrix. "A good way to understand how STDFT works is to use the interactive function dynspec(). The function computes a STDFT and displays the successive DFTS. [...] The STDFT is by essence a collection of successive frequency spectra that are grouped into a single matrix which dimensions are determined by the length N of the sound and the time index m that corresponds to the size of the sliding window"; see the Subsection 11.1.1 Principle of Section 11.1 Short-time Fourier Transformation in [14]'s Chapter 11 Spectrographic Visualization. dynspec() is a seewave function which requires rpanel.

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
wav norm	-32751 -32760	-13625 -13638	$\begin{array}{c} 113\\ 96 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.62 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\frac{13426}{13406}$	$32760 \\ 32736$

**Table 4:** Twinkle snippet: The quantiles of the original wave and its normalize()'d version.

The mono() function selects a specific channel. It will be more useful after I put the bass line into a different channel than the melody, which might deliver some ideas about a "master file", equivalent to a master tape. tuneR's multi channel wave class WaveMC supports more than two channels. MCnames() defines labels and names for 18 channels derived from an unresolvable link to MICROSOFT's WAV format in the help file.<sup>20</sup>

#### 7.2 Wave Spectrum Class

The attributes of periodogram() are impressive but I don't really know how to use them. The help page uses *spectral density* as a synonym; doesn't ring a bell either. For now I just see that periodogram() produces a Wspec class which is required to feed into the next function FF() to produce a series of *fundamental frequencies*. Without deep knowledge I just have a look at the structure of my recording's Wspec instance which I ingeniously call wSpec:

```
Formal class 'Wspec' [package "tuneR"] with 13 slots
  ..@ freq
               : num [1:512] 43.1 86.1 129.2 172.3 215.3 ...
  ..@ spec
               :List of 774
  .....$ : num [1:512] 0.0783 0.3776 0.4763 0.0349 0.0111 ...
  .. 773 similar lines .....
  ..@ kernel
               : NULL
                                  ..@ df
                                                : num 2
                                  .. @ width
  ..@ taper
               : num 0
                                               : num 1024
  ..@ overlap : num 512
                                  .. @ normalize: logi TRUE
  ..@ starts
               : num [1:774] 1 513 1025 1537 2049 ...
                                  ..@ samp.rate: int 44100
  ..@ stereo
               : logi FALSE
```

<sup>20</sup>See *Default Channel Ordering* in the *Multiple channel audio data and WAVE files* entry at learn.microsoft.com for a working link, accessed 2023-01-28.

```
..@ variance : num [1:774] 0.0285 0.0304 0.0295 0.0281 ...
..@ energy : num [1:774] 43.5 43.9 43.8 43.4 44.1 ...
```

Shortly after producing this Wspec class tuneR's main example mentions two graphical illustrations of it:

- tuneR::image() a version of the graphics function image(). It looks distantly related to a Gantt diagram; the help page calls it spectrogram. According to the source file it uses wSpec@starts for the x axis, wSpec@frequ for y, and wSpec@spec for the color.
- tuneR::plot() a version of the base function plot(). It produces something like a frequency spectrum by addressing one of 774 bins by a which parameter. According to the source file it uses wSpec@freq for the x axis and wSpec@spec[[which]] for y.

The description at the corresponding plot-WspecMat help says "plotting a spectrogram (image) of an object of class Wspec or WspecMat. The usage, too. The tuneR related plot() is an S4 method for signature WspecMat,missing and image() is for Wspec." while the details tell the user that "calling image() on a Wspec object converts it to class WspecMat and calls the corresponding plot() function. Calling plot() on a WspecMat object generates an image() with correct annotated axes." I find that confusing. Nevertheless I can apply the illustrations to my Wspec instance wSpec. The code below turns wSpec into Figure 3. Obviously it uses image().

Introducing rep("#DDDDDD", 10) at the start of my choice of colors turns an otherwise red background to grey. This manipulation seems to act like noise reduction. Using only the first 67% of the rainbow palette makes it start at red, continue with yellow, green, cyan and end at blue. Using rainbow(200) [134:0] would reverse the palette and begin with deep blue. Anticipating the features of spectro() presented at the end of



Fig. 3: wSpec image. The unit of the x axis is seconds. The marked positions are used for the generic plot() version of tuneR in Figure 4.

this section I could also choose the spectro.colors() palette built with the hsv() function of grDevices. The colors are shown in the amplitude legend of Figure 5.

In Figure 3 I marked some time spots to compare the Wspec illustrations to the plots for the fundamental frequencies. For comparison I picked a boxplot() of the values used in Figure 2. For this view I skipped the ramps. I extracted the corresponding regions manually from the ff vector which is developed to the time series in Section 7.4 and plotted in Section 5. See the code below and the left graph in Figure 4.

A specialty of R's **boxplot**() is its list of return values. The third line of the first list element, the **x\$stats** matrix, contains the medians of the boxes. So I can use them for the horizontal lines, the text placement and the text itself:

Basically the plots of my Wspec class wSpec at the chosen which'es can be invoked by the command shown in the titles of the four right graphs in Figure 4. The exact command for the first plot is



Fig. 4: Left: the boxplot() of the fundamental frequencies without ramps. The corresponding regions were extracted manually from the ff vector plotted in Figure 2 of Section 5. – Right: wSpec plots at the dotted time spots in Figure 3. The x axis units are Hz. These spots are correlated to the leftmost boxplot.

With the distinctive features from the item list of the two Wspec illustrations plot() and image() I think I'm ready to make my first steps into understanding the Wspec producing periodogram() and move to seewave's spectro() or the much more rewarding theoretical fields of spectral and Fourier theory. The image in Figure 5 is made without a Wspec class detour from the mono input wnm1.20; see Section 7. It looks promising, but the parameters and the corresponding theory are still challenging.

```
seewave::spectro(wnm1.20,flim=c(0,0.65));
```

#### 7.3 Fundamental Frequency

Julius O. Smith [11] considers fundmental frequency as fundamental knowledge and points to the illustrative textbook-like entry *Recogniz*ing the Length-Wavelength Relationship at physicsclassroom.com.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Subsection Recognizing the Length-Wavelength Relationship  $\rightarrow$  Section Fundamental Frequency and Harmonics  $\rightarrow$  Lesson 4 Resonance and Standing Waves in



Fig. 5: seewave's spectro() of the mono wave wnm1.20 from Section 7.

It treats the term fundamental frequency as the first harmonic of an instrument. So, if I aim FF() at my WAV file it selects the fundamental frequency of the whole sound as if it was the sound of one instrument.

Something in this class is the source for the 774 frequencies in the resulting numerical vector of the FF() function. The @spec list, @starts, @variance, @energy? Could be interesting.<sup>22</sup> I just assume that the ff vector is a number of consecutive frequencies representing my WAV snippet.

Physics Tutorial's Chapter Sound Waves and Music at physicsclassroom.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Apart from being confronted with PSD and DFT realms (see below) a possible entry into the rabbit hole is Section 11.4 Functions of the Package tuneR in [14]'s Chapter 11 Spectrographic Visualization, p. 321f: "The most important slot is the slot @spec which contains the successive power spectrum densities (PSD's) organized in a list. [...] To get a display of the spectrogram, we need to extract the slot @spec, to convert it into a matrix, to scale the data in [0, 1], to convert the data in dB, and to use the function 2D-plot function image() to plot the transpose of the matrix. Labeling the axes is a bit tricky, in particular for the time axis." There's another entry at Subsection 10.1.1 Functions of the Package tuneR in 10.1 Frequency Spectrum of [14]'s Chapter 10 Frequency, Quefrency, and Phase in Practice, p.248f: "The function periodogram() of tuneR, which is based on spec.pgram() from the R core package stats, computes the power spectral density (PSD) which is the square of the frequency spectrum of the discrete Fourier transform DFT. The frequency spectrum is scaled by the sum of the DFT values returning therefore a probability mass function."

#### 7.4 Time Series

I choose another reasoning for the derivation of the vector ff. How many samples do I need to identify the note lengths I'm looking for? I can't find an answer to this question without the knowledge about turning pressure values into frequency information, i.e., the mystery of the spectral density. But I can estimate the requirements for solving this mistery by relating the note length to the sample rate. And then – by varying the window length – I probably can discover some boundary values. For a 44100 Hz sampling rate the 1024 sample window of the ff producing periodogram() takes

$$44100 \frac{\text{samples}}{\text{second}} = \frac{1024 \text{ samples}}{x}; \quad \rightarrow \quad x = \frac{1024 \text{ s}}{44100} \approx 23.22 \text{ ms.}$$

In a frame of 100 BPM, i.e., 100 quarters per minute, a quarter takes 60 s/100 = 600 ms, a quaver 300 ms, a 16th 150 ms, a 32th 75 ms. The window width of 23 ms is about one third of a 32th, i.e. a triplet 64th.<sup>23</sup> The number of samples for a 32th range of 75 ms is

$$\frac{x}{75\,\mathrm{ms}} = \frac{44100\,\mathrm{samples}}{1000\,\mathrm{ms}}; \quad \rightarrow \quad x = 3307.5\,\mathrm{samples}.$$

For a 16th note it would be the next integer value of 6615 samples. That fits for the Twinkle *notes*, the ramps would have a coarse profile. With this estimation I could try to define a proper overlap for 6615 samples; without leaving the pattern of the musical beat. Anyway, the window length in the periodogram() is restricted to  $2^n$  values with positive integer n exponents. The function puts any other window size to the next  $2^n$  step. With  $\log_2 6615 \approx 12.7$  that would be  $2^{13} = 8192$ .

Armed with this information I dare to proceed using the results of FF() without knowing the internals. In the decorated twinkle case, according to str(), the result is a numerical vector of 774 elements; see

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>The question is what would be a serious resolution? That depends. For the continuous up and down ramps it might have to be as small as possible, and for the quaver notes a 16th resolution might suffice. But looking at the procedural data of an up or down ramp we only need the start and end note, the ramping time, and perhaps a ramping profile. The profile would describe the pattern to get from start to end; linearly, sinusodially increasing, whatever. The glissando notation includes this freedom of interpretation.

Section 7.2. The values and the function name tell me that they are frequencies, but the time series information seems to be lost. Reimplementing this time information is a matter of

```
ts1.20 <- ts(ff, start=0, end=9, frequency=774/9);</pre>
```

I already used that time series for the construction of Figure 2 in Section 5 and for the boxplot in Figure 4 of Section 7.2.

#### 7.5 Smoothing

In the main tuneR example the melodyplot(), the quantplot(), and a some kind of implied LILYPOND output are prepended by the smoother() filter. For quantplot() and LILYPOND there's also a quantization precursor.

For the next four subsections my mysterious notes vector of 774 elements derived with noteFromFF() from the fundamental frequencies FF() of spectral windows periodogram() which are calculated from the amplitude values of my CHUCK recording are processed by smoother() to snotes

- the next section shows the melodyplot()
- Section 7.7 introduces quantize() and quantMerge() which are used in the two subsequent sections<sup>24</sup>
- Section 7.8 uses quantMerge() to construct LILYPOND note names with an extract of the lilyinput() function.
- Section 7.9 uses quantize() for the quantplot().

The function smoother() applies moving averages – the corresponding help page talks about a "running median". To run smoother() the user needs the additional package pastecs which, according to its help page, is aimed at "the analysis of space-time ecological series." The borrowed function is decmedian(), "a nonlinear filtering method used to smooth, but also to segment a time series. The isolated peaks and pits are leveraged by this method."

Reasoning about the moving average of smoother() led me to Subsection 5.2.3 Smoothing in Section 5.2 Amplitude Envelope of [14]'s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>The main example of tuneR uses smoother()'ed snotes for the quantize() input. But as far as I've seen the usage of notes yields the same result.

Chapter 5 Display of the Wave. It explains the process of a sliding window and applies it to the concepts of moving averages, sums, and kernels mediated by the parameters msmooth, ssmooth, and ksmooth of the seewave function env(). The rabbit holes I discovered in the matter of env() are

- the difference between absolute and analytic[] amplitude envelopes controlled by the parameter envt
- the kernel() function which feeds into ksmooth
- the connection to a time and amplitude threshold detector called timer()<sup>25</sup> which includes the parameters of env().

So Ihave a faint idea of tuneR's smoother() function, apply it for melodyplot(), and observe its effect on the quantization methods. Perhaps I can keep in mind that seewave's env() could be a proper substitute.

snotes <- tuneR::smoother(notes);</pre>

#### 7.6 Melody Plot

The ts class from the preceding Section 7.4 delivered the time axis shown in Figure 2. The result in the melody plot of Figure 6 should be pretty much the same. in this plot the linear down slope at the end turns into a curve. That's because of the logarithmic nature, i.e., the  $log_{12}$  relation, of notes and frequency. And the initial ramp doesn't have enough space on the graph to show bending. At least I can see that the melodyplot() is an equivalent to my self made time series plot. The interested reader might try to substitute snotes with notes to see some tassels.

tuneR::melodyplot(wSpec, snotes, mar=c(2,4,0,4)+0.1);

30

 $<sup>^{25}</sup>$ The timer() function is central to the 13-page section 8.3 Automatic Measurements in [14]'s Chapter 8 Time-Amplitude Parametrisation. This is 13 pages about looking for relevant signals in a sea of noise or silence just by applying a threshold. the whole 30-page-chapter Comparison and Automatic Detection of the same book treats pattern matching.



Fig. 6: Melody plot

#### 7.7 Note Quantization

In this section is based on statements from the help page of quantize() and quantMerge(). According to the description both functions "apply (static) quantization of notes in order to produce sheet music by pressing the notes into bars." Both read a notes vector of integers such as returned by noteFromFF(); quantize() optionally consumes an additional energy vector. The notes vector contains positve and negative integer values centered about a zero note defined by a normative frequency value called *diapason*.<sup>26</sup> As discovered in Section 3 noteFromFF() returns an integer valued semitone difference to the diapason A3.

- quantize() works with the parameters notes, energy, and parts.
   It returns notes and energy counting parts elements.
- quantMerge() responds to notes, minlength, barsize, and bars. Its result is a data frame with the columns note, duration, punctuation, and slur showing bars \* barsize rows.

The first two lines of quantMerge() show its dependence on quantize().

```
lengthunit <- bars * barsize;
notes <- quantize(notes, parts=lengthunit)$notes;</pre>
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>Diapason is short for *diapason normal* correlated to the *concert pitch*.

With the for-loop below I can trial and error myself through the parts interpretation of quantize().

Same experimental setup for the combination of quantMerge()'s minlength, barsize, and bar in order to produce something useful as input for LILYPOND. The definition used in Section 7.8 is

And the input for quantplot() demonstrated in Section 7.9 is based on quantize() and uses the energy values.

qnotes <- tuneR::quantize(snotes, wSpec@energy, parts = 64);</pre>

#### 7.8 Lilypond Output

Table 5 shows the note values prepared by quantMerge() and processed by a function which I borrowed from the note-translation  $code^{27}$  of the function lilyinput().

Table 5: Output of the tuneR function quantMerge() processed by an extract of lilyinput(). The quantMerge() parameters minlength, barsize, and bar are set to the combination 8-4-8.

c8	e8	f8	gis8	a,2	cis2	cis8	d4.	d8	cis4.	a'8
g'8	f'8	e'8	d'8	b8	gis8	e8	$^{\mathrm{b,8}}$	$^{\rm e,8}$	fis,,8	gis,,8

After prepending and appending a half note rest r2 and adding some slurs<sup>28</sup> I copy & paste the result into a LILYPOND template which delivers Figure 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Because of its length the code is not shown, but it's part of this blog's ORG file. The dear reader may also look up the part in the lilyinput() function. Its the part from the assignment of clef to the return value statement toene. I consider this note decoder as the central part of this lilyinput() function.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Some notes are split automatically in order to comply to the musical beat



Fig. 7: LILYPOND output of the raw 🖻 Listing1.20.ck in quaver resolution.

In the LILYPOND interpretation of the 16th resolution – see Figure 8 – the last bass note of the melody's accompaniment is interpreted as a half note even if it only takes 1.1 seconds; I manually split the result cis2 to cis4.(cis16 cis). Suprisingly in both the 8th and the 16th grid the *second* bass note shows an abnormal length which postpones the subsequent notes. Fortunately I can visually pre-examine the phenomenons of using even smaller quantize() parts by the illustration with quantplot(); see Figure 9.



Fig. 8: The melody's bass accompaniment in 16th resolution.

#### 7.9 Quantplot

The output qnotes of quantize() with 64 parts – see Section 7.7 – is fed to quantplot(). I present the code and the graphical output of function without further explanation. The reader may have collected enough inspiration to explore the 27 parameters of quantplot() combined the parametric food of quantize().

structure. These breaks are then rebound with a slur. This slur provision is already announced for the <code>lilyinput()</code> function.



Fig. 9: Quantplot without rests in 16th resolution.

## 8 What's more

With these tools at hand I began to translate the modules of ABLE-TON LIVE – the *live devices* including instruments, audio and MIDI effects – into one view of sound synthesis. Another view is Peter Manning's Evolution of Sound Synthesis<sup>29</sup>. His "evolutional" sequence is, for example, wavetable synthesis  $\rightarrow$  additive synthesis  $\rightarrow$  frequency modulation  $\rightarrow$  physical modelling  $\rightarrow$  granular synthesis. And the next section of this Manning reference, 3 Resources for Software-Based Sound Synthesis, discusses plenty of tools for the tasks. The Chapter A History of Music and Programming in the CHUCK PhD thesis [15] offers similar insight. The thesis itself which promotes CHUCK as a "strongly-timed" audio programming language with a time-based concurrent programming model<sup>30</sup> gives rise to the assumption that there's something missing in the text based sound languages NYQUIST and SUPERCOLLIDER.

My experience of the terminal execution of CHUCK scripts in PULSE audio installations of UBUNTU and ENDEAVOROS is a hick up after

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>That is the headline of the second section in *Sound Synthesis Using Computers* [8].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>Section 1.3 The ChucKian approach in [15]'s Chapter 1 Introduction and Motivation, p.5.

about half a second of every listing I played.<sup>31</sup> When I record the script and play the resulting WAV file with an audio player like VLC there's no problem. This might be an issue of my LINUX configuration. Or perhaps I missed some warnings in the compilation feedback of CHUCK. The chuck flag --blocking might help but it's not available in chuck versions  $\geq 1.4.x$ . Any configuration of --bufsize didn't affect the hick up. I didn't check --adaptive and I also didn't try to run the scripts in AUDICLE.

Perhaps I'd get some hints from the entry *Getting an ALSA program* (*ChucK*) to work with *Pulseaudio?* and one of its references *Command line multitrack audio looper for Linux?*, both at superuser.com. Or from a 2018' PHD work which expands on live coding and classifies CHUCK's processing mode as a

"style of intra-process communication [which] has been adopted by many live-coding environments including CHUCK, IMPROMPTU and FLUXUS. Being both effcient and flexible, intra-process communication offers an attractive option for integrating live-coding languages with extant low-level audio-visual frameworks – OPENGL, STK, CORE-AUDIO, QUICKTIME, DIRECTX, ALSA, JACK, PORTAUDIO, and PORTMIDI to name a few.

There is however, a serious disadvantage with the tight coupling of language with framework; the tight coupling of failure!"

— Section 3.1.2 Language and framework coupling of Extempore: The design, implementation and application of a cyber-physical programming language [12], p.47

The quote can be seen as a commercial for the extempore software, or as incentive for new ideas about live coding.

Anyway my hick up experience gives rise to the suspicion that NYQUIST or SUPERCOLLIDER are at least worth trying. Or IM-PROMPTU, FLUXUS, EXTEMPORE? All these software is nothing but a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>I also checked this behavior in a real terminal of an UBUNTU system, i.e., the terminal which is usually available via C-M-F1 to C-M-F?, not the terminal emulations of the graphical desktop.

toolbox for working with sound. So I might be better off to discover the GNU LINUX empire which embraces the UNIX philosophy of using small and highly advanced programs which are maintainable? For example I could employ MPC, the command-line client of the Music Player Daemon MPD for a DJ scenario. The EMACS multimedia system EMMS supports it. But has EMMS access to the three crossfading related flags crossfade, mixrampdb, and mixrampdelay? What about employing SoX? Ecasound?

There are lots of programs, services, and devices which may add to an individual tool set. UNIX command line programs and libraries, their GUI representatives, and wrappers in any coding language. Online offers and services. Looks like the users are pushed into responsibility for their own actions. No wonder most of them decide for the proprietary thread. I voted against integrated audio software like CuBase, Magix, Rosegarden, Garageband, Muse, TuxGuitar, Frescobaldi. But I'm still interested in discovering

- Online platforms Bandlab, Soundcloud, Funkwhale, musicBrainz (audio fingerprint, recording ids)
- Command line players or synths ffmpeg/ffplay/ffprobe, ecasound, sox, mpd. Melt? See the *Melt* article by Bruce Byfield at linux-magazine.com.
- Ip3 tagging mp3tag → puddletag, exiftool (reading metadata), mutagen (writing id3tag) → exFalso/quodLibet, musicBrainz → picard,
- Composition tools ProChords, a framework of a usage database instead of music theory for selecting chords.
- Guitar effects Looking up Guitar Effects Pedals [5]. Get inspiration from Guitar Tone [4] or Electric Guitar Science [7] and then dive into Julius Smith's online books to synthesize a Hendrix sound.<sup>32</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>Direct Link chosen from an overview of *Sound Examples* in one of his online publications *Physical Audio Signal Processing* at www.dsprelated.com or at ccrma.stanford.edu. Both example sections are pointing to WAV and MP3 files at ccrma.stanford.edu.

- LILYPOND's extended notation scores for fingering, percussion, fret diagrams how to note the playing technique, of latin percussion for example, and use it as input for a music program.
- Streaming configured audio results as a MUMBLE or MPD audio session for example a radio show or a podcast might be considered to be transferred to Worlds for Collaborative Social Audio Programming; see Section 7.2.2 in 7.2 Future Work of [15]'s Conclusion Chapter. Get hints from libmms, a library for downloading (streaming) media files using the mmst and mmsh protocols.
- Electronic circuit simulation for sketching analogue modules discussed in Handmade Electronic Music: The Art of Hardware Hacking [3] mainly inspired by ngspice, based on SPICE, the Simulation Program with Integrated Circuit Emphasis. The ARCH LINUX user repository, for example, provides bridges to Spice3f5, Ciber1b1, and Xspice. The Science of Electric Guitars and Guitar Electronics [7] uses NGSPICE and Jimmie Cathey's Schaum textbook [2] provides SPICE scripts for basic electronics.

That are some pieces of some big picture. But with – the recorded results of – CHUCK I first try the different forms of compositions from [6] and explore the live sampling expansion LiSa of CHUCK's sound buffer handling<sup>33</sup> to advance my recording skills; perhaps inspiring streaming scenarios.

Even the first step can be a daunting task when I want to employ LILYPOND notation to drive a CHUCK composition. The R package tabr seems to deliver the tools for these bridges; see the initial vignette *Noteworthiness*: "tabr provides a music notation syntax system represented in R code and a collection of music programming functions for generating, manipulating, organizing and analyzing musical information structures in R. While other packages focus on working with acoustic data more generally, tabr provides a framework for creating and working with musical data in a common music notation format."

And I'm also confident that my experience with NOTATOR's event handling for sequencing will be a valuable source of inspiration. Or the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>Explained in 20 pages of Chapter 4 Sound files and sound manipulation of [6].

astonishing simplicity of NOTATOR's transform form – see Figure 10 – which is extensively discussed in the Manual [1], chapter 24, 3 Structure of the Transform Window. Looks like retro? Hmm. Guess again.

FOUR CONDITIONS: "K ALL VALUES"	Transform	DE"
No 8 Name	REGITINE TRANSFORM AREA	
POSITION SUBPOSITION CONDITION * *	STATUS CHA ONE THO	X
TRANSFORM MODEL INTO THIS RESULT (X : NO CHANGE)	NOTE Cha ONE TWO STATUS CHA ONE THO	Length
Event Value   *  may be proce	AUTO NUTEUFF HANDLING	DEFAULT
AUTOFADE MUL		MULTI
RANDOM MAP No	EXIT	OK

Fig. 10: The transform form of NOTATOR.

I think the very first move is employing CHUCK to note the events into a CSV file. Or JSON for complex data like a glissando or a set or variables for a sound or program change. I guess both, or the good old database with normalized tables? Employing CHUCK should be the fastest way to a piano roll.

I already put up an ORG MODE file for all of tabr's elaborated vignettes. Just to understand the scope of the package. But I'm still undecided if all these tools are really helpful. For they obscure a big deal of insight if used without understanding LILYPOND. And LILYPOND in turn – for me – is a hard nut to crack either. Even if I also worked with its predecessors MUSICTEX and MUSIXTEX. So I think my entry toolset presented in this blog has still a lot to offer. Supplements of this blog entry: I provide the PDF here at pjs.netlify.app and a reduced version of the ORG source at bitbucket.org.

Thanks for reading. Comments at the @pjs@c.im instance at mastodon.

## 9 Apx A – ChucK on Ubuntu and Arch

The online help for the installation of CHUCK offers installers and source files for WINDOWS and MACOS. For LINUX systems the help is reduced to the statement that the library libsndfile (linked to www.mega-nerd.com) is somehow involved and that the user should have gcc, lex, yacc, and make; see the release page at chuck.cs.princeton.edu. On this release page the link which says "access all versions here" also offers a cygwin version, and the most recent manual.

- 2022-02-18, UBUNTU 20.04.3 LTS: chuck-1.4.1.0 doesn't install, chuck-1.4.0.1 works, but shows some warnings; UBUNTU itself offers the installation of version 1.2.0.8.dfsg-1.5build1, same at 2022-11-20.
- 2022-12-04, chuck-1.4.1.1 released in June 2022. The release page announces that the chucK-1.4.x.x versions are part of the NumChucKs releases of CHUCK which allow embedding any number of virtual CHUCK machines.

Satellite CCRMA is an entire customized version of LINUX that includes a number of applications for computer music and physical computing, including CHUCK. — A hint from Section Installing on Ubuntu Linux in [6]'s Appendix A /Installing ChucK and miniAudicle/

#### 9.1 Ubuntu

The section about the UBUNTU installation in [6]'s Appendix also begins with the statement that on LINUX, CHUCK and MINIAUDICLE are compiled from source code, but it specifies the additional programs and libraries which have to be included. The short form of the preparation is the command line expression

Another approach in UBUNTU is to engage the SYNAPTIC package manager and process each one of the libraries and programs. They may be installed already. Check, e.g., which make gcc g++ at the command line of the OS SHELL. I prefer using Sys.which() within an R source code block to have the answer available in the current document. I can see from the answer, that all the compilers are installed already and accessible at  $\bigcirc$  /usr/bin.

Sys.which(c("make", "gcc", "g++", "bison", "flex"))

For checking the other libraries the user can chose between at least two procedures.

1. The first one is kind of a guess work, because the names of the packages containing the code libraries are different in LINUX flavors, like the main distributors ARCH, DEBIAN, REDHAT, or SUSE. The BASH command ldconfig configures dynamic linker runtime bindings. In LINUX dynamically linked libraries are called shared objects. With the -p flag set ldconfig prints the lists of directories and candidate libraries stored in the current cache. In this context I found the unix.stackexchange.com entry How Do Shared Object Numbers Work helpful.

```
ldconfig -p | grep "libasound"
```

2. The second one is from askubuntu.com's entry How to find location of installed library. The output of dpkg -L is very long so I also piped it into grep and edited the result by cutting some example files.

40

```
dpkg -L "libasound2-dev" | grep "libasound"
```

```
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev/copyright
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev/examples
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev/examples/audio_time.c
...
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev/examples/timer.c
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev/examples/user-ctl-element-set.c
/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libasound.so
/usr/share/doc/libasound2-dev/changelog.Debian.gz
```

### 9.2 Endeavor OS – Arch

As for UBUNTU I can check for the installed compiler libraries, e.g., which make gcc g++. And use the BASH command ldconfig for the run-time bindings. In ARCH I add the usage of pacman -Ss for finding libasound, libsndfile, libpulse, and qscintilla-qt4 connections; see Section Querying Package Databases of the ARCHWIKI entry about PACMAN at wiki.archlinux.org. There's probably a similar flag or option for DEBIAN's dpkg command. One of the PACMAN wrappers for ARCH's user repository AUR is yay. Wrappers are a subcategory of AUR helpers; "Pacman only handles updates for pre-built packages in its repositories. AUR packages are redistributed in form of PKGBUILD's and need an AUR helper to automate the rebuild process." For my research expanded the ldconfig-grep pipe from the UBUNTU investigation with

```
pacman -Ss libpulse
yay -Ss libsndfile
```

In 2021-09 searching for CHUCK on ARCH delivers

• chuck 1.4.1.0-1 2021-07-29, dependence jack2, which in turn depends on about 20 packages. This explains the installation failure at UBUNTU.

While the ARCH user repository AUR provides three packages with the gcc-libs (...) and libsondfile dependencies, last updated at 2020-10-

05: chuck-alsa, chuck-jack, and chuck-pulse, all in version 1.4.0.1-1.

Additional AUR packages for CHUCK are

- chugins-git depending on CHUCK, i.e., the alsa, jack, or pulse versions above, and git
- mini-audicle depending on libpulse, libsndfile, qscintilla-qt4 (ghost-deps-meta), and chuck (alsa, jack, pulse) (optional) – for documentation and command line interface

The ARCH LINUX dependencies of the CHUCK installation libraries:

- libasound2-dev  $\rightarrow$  alsa-lib, 2021-06-14 1.2.5.1-3, provides libasound.so=2-64, libatopology.so=2-64
- libsndfile1-dev  $\rightarrow$  libsndfile 2021-02-04 1.0.31-1, provides libsndfile.so=1-64, otionally depending on alsa-lib
- libqt4-dev and libqscintilla2-dev → required by mini-audicle, the qscintilla-qt4 entry of the mini-audicle dependencies above was linked to a *ghost-deps-meta* until 2021. It was a "metapkg for cleaning the AUR, provide no longer existing packages for finding pkgbuilds that need a fix" and provided the qscintilla-qt4 related packages python-qscintilla-qt4, python-qscintilla-qt4.
- libpulse-dev → required by mini-audicle, libpulse and a nosystemd version of libpulse.

Mission accomplished. Now I know that I can skip qscintilla-qt4 for my purposes.

#### 9.3 Compilation

I only use the command line invocation of audio scripts and I'm editing these scripts with EMACS. For this I have to download and unpack CHUCK following the sequence website  $\rightarrow$  Download link  $\rightarrow$  source in the LINUX category. The download of miniAudicle is just for to check, what libraries are for the audicle and if it works. For now I'm writing documented scripts in ORG MODE and I use the terminal or the EMACS shell buffer with CHUCK's command line functionality. There is also a CHUCK shell command line interface, kind of half way to the AUDICLE GUI.

At September 2021 the ARCH package used 1.4.1.0 from 2021-06-25,

42

#### 9.3 Compilation

the AUR packages chuck-1.4.0.1 from 2020-04-15. Remember, at 2022-12-04 UBUNTU still offers the 1.2.0.8 version, but it takes care of the libraries. For installation from source the tar commands below prepare for the compilation.

```
tar xzf chuck-W.X.Y.Z.tgz
tar xzf miniAudicle-A.B.C.tgz
```

where W.X.Y.Z and A.B.C match the current version numbers. After unpacking the user navigates to the CHUCK  $\bowtie$  src directory of the unpacked folder system and enters the command to start the build procedure

cd chuck-W.X.Y.Z/src
make linux-pulse

On my system make linux-alsa also works, but make linux-jack terminates with the message

```
RtAudio/RtAudio.cpp:1910:10: fatal error: jack/jack.h: No such file
or directory #include <jack/jack.h> ~~~~~~ compilation
terminated. makefile:153: recipe for target 'RtAudio/RtAudio.o'
failed make: *** [RtAudio/RtAudio.o] Error 1
```

Perhaps a missing jack-anything-dev library; see the RtAudio header and cpp files in RtAudio folder at chuck.cs.princeton.edu. The make process will, again, take some time, because all of the source code files are compiled into the single chuck program. When the make command completes, the last step is the admin job of making the compiled program chuck globally available.

```
sudo make install
```

I guess I could also use CHUCK without the sudo installer and call chuck from the installation folder. The command chuck --version checks the availability of CHUCK. I, again, process the response with R, this time to get rid of the leading and the trailing empty lines. Looks cumbersome, but I can control it better than the bash source code environment in ORG MODE. There's an R pipe() command which probably is more convenient; it's sparsely documented but the corresponding connections help offers a couple of examples to learn from.

```
bra <- "chuck --version"; pipe <- ">";
ket <- "2>&1"; out1 <- tempfile();
rv <- system(paste(bra, pipe, out1, ket))
paste(readLines(out1)[2:5],collapse="\n")
```

```
chuck version: 1.4.0.1 (numchucks)
    linux (pulse) : 64-bit
    http://chuck.cs.princeton.edu/
    http://chuck.stanford.edu/
```

## 10 Apx B – Org Mode Scripting of ChucK

The ORG MODE source code for starting a single CHUCK process (shred) in the EMACS buffer looks like

```
#+header: :noeval
#+begin_src bash :exports none :results none
    chuck iCkBkEx/chapter1/Listing1.20.ck
#+end_src
```

I'm starting the script by disconnecting<sup>34</sup> the :noeval header from the source code, i.e., arming the code, and then evaluating it with C-c C-c. To stop the script I use C-g.

```
I once happended to notice a missing up-beat glissando
while playing the script. But I couldn't reproduce the error
on the next restart. I remember that the usage of VLC often
leads to awkward behavior of the LINUX audio system when
switching to another program. The PhD [15] only mentions
internal latency problems of a sound calculation that takes
longer than playing.<sup>35</sup>.
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Connecting the **#+header**: after playing is a good habit for avoiding the org-lint message "orphaned header argument," but I didn't hear the code executed at any export without the :noeval header. Well, :exports and :results are both set to none; see the ORG Manual's Section Evaluating Code Blocks for their effect on evaluation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup>See the "two points should be noted" in Subsection 3.4.3 Chuck Virtual Machine + Shreduler, Section 3.4 System Design and Implementation of [15]'s Chuck Chapter, p.78.

Another mode for working with CHUCK code from within an ORG file is tangling.<sup>36</sup> I demonstrate this feature with some short code example from the sidebox *Chuck is a real programming language*<sup>37</sup> in [6]. Its realization as ORG MODE source code block is

```
#+begin_src chuck :tangle ../iMuMy/Chuck/lst1p22.ck :noeval
Impulse imp => ResonZ filt => NRev rev => dac;
0.04 => rev.mix;
100.0 => filt.Q => filt.gain;
while (1) {
Std.mtof(Math.random2(60,84)) => filt.freq;
1.0 => imp.next;
100::ms => now; }
#+end_src
```

The default way to tangle this block would be arranged with the header argument :tangle yes. This argument in the a code block's header of the file  $\bowtie$  xx.org copies the code to  $\bowtie$  xx.chuck; changing #+begin\_src chuck to #+begin\_src ck leads to  $\bowtie$  xx.ck; no major-mode hacking.<sup>38</sup> For more than one blocks in an ORG file with the same target file the argument :padline controls empty line insertion between the source blocks. Permissions for the file are set like :tangle-mode (identity #0755). After tangling with an explicitly given file name, like show in the code above, the script can be processed<sup>39</sup> with the BASH command

chuck ../iMuMy/Chuck/lst1p22.ck

<sup>38</sup>Usage of :comments will involve more configurational effort, because the default comment markup probably won't fit for CHUCK code. The argument :comments org transfers parts of the ORG document to the code file, while :comments link inserts backlinks in the code file to the ORG file.

 $^{39}\mathrm{In}$  CHUCK parleur: the shred can be sporked to the VM.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>See Section *Extracting Source Code* of the ORG Manual or the docstring of org-babel-tangle, shortcut C-c C-v t.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>The sidebox is part of Section 1.2.3 Now let's make music, Section 1.2 Your first Chuck programs of [6]'s first Chapter Basics: sound, waves, and Chuck programming, p.22. The purpose of the sidebox is the demonstration of a short loop to create an infinite number of sounds in contrast to the tedious task of explicitly creating four Twinkle notes in  $\succeq$  Listing1.2.ck.

#### Feedback from Practice

There's a 2004' CHUCK mode for EMACS once linked at a Princeton Wiki. This major mode worked well for code highlighting; the executive part was discussed in comments and worked just for the chuck --add feature; I have to kill it explicitly, probably because I don't understand the processes. And there's a lot to know, beginning with the LINUX audio system and process control. As of 2018 there's a fork called chuck-mode with three ELISP scripts chuck-core  $\rightarrow$  chuck-console  $\rightarrow$  chuck-mode at GITHUB.

The architecture of CHUCK depends on kind of a client server model. One shell provides the embracinag virtual machine which is initialized by chuck --loop. Another shell is used for correspondence, while the feedback is presented at the server shell. It might be hard to provide for the corresponding control structures in EMACS. For example the function run-chuck for starting the virtual machine is is not activated by default. The comment of the 2004 chuck-mode author: "ChucK as an internal listener does not work well. Run it externally and control it internally."

The AUDICLE is designed to organize these matters. But I don't want to be GUI'ded. That's why I had a hard time<sup>*a*</sup> getting confidential about using the software. But I'm curious about the CHUCK's potential. It might be capable of concurrently controlling all kind of processes; sound design, musical composition, theatrical tonmeister processes, movie scoring, but also sonification tasks. With the control of the operating system's interfaces this can be expanded to any process control task; see the WIKIPEDIA entry about concurrency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Took me some time to see the SndBuf's dependence on LINUX's 48 kHz default. For access to external WAV files I have to reduce the sampling rate with chuck -srate44100, not -srate:44100 or -srate(44100) in commands of the client terminal. I noticed that while referring to WAV snippets located by AUDACITY. With the LINUX default CHUCK couldn't find these pieces using 44.1 kHz AUDACITY's sample locators.

## 11 Apx C – ChucK Sources

IMHO the book Programming for Musicians and Digital Artists Creating Music with Chuck [6] offers the most comprehensive and vivid approach for the first contact with CHUCK. Unfortunately its distribution as a \$30 book doesn't meet the requirements of free software  $\rightarrow$  free documentation, unless the authors wouldn't consider their book as documentation. In 2021 the publisher offered the free chapters 0 Introduction, 3 Arrays, and 6 UGens at manning.com,<sup>40</sup> while the program page chuck.cs.princeton.edu links to an AMA-ZON dp URL. The examples of this book are provided in the directory  $\cong$  examples/book/digital-artists of the source zip or tar  $\cong$  chuck-1.X.Y.Z. After installation I found it in the folder above at  $\cong$  /usr/share/doc/chuck. It's also mirrored at GITHUB. For free documentation the dear reader may turn to

- the Web pages at Princeton and Stanford,
- the Manual for the 1.3.x.x versions from 2007, 191 pages, linked at the tutorial page, other Manual versions can be extracted from the previous versions at the release page, probably version 1.2.0.8, 2004, with 159 pages.
- the PhD thesis, connected at the language section of the documentation's root page,
- A CHUCK archive.flossmanual pointing at lick which is a GITHUB "shared repository for various bits of reusable CHUCK code."
- most articles from Ge Wang's publication page linked at chuck.cs.princeton.edu, and
- some of the Princeton SoundLab publications page which was maintained until 2010.

The other examples are planted at a structured example page. It's structure may be interpreted as a parallel view-of or approach-to CHUCK programming.

 $<sup>^{40}\</sup>mathrm{In}$  2023-02 the visitor gets a time window for providing an email address.

## References

- [1] C-Lab, Hamburg. Notator SL, version 3.1 edition.
- [2] Jimmie J. Cathey. *Electronic Devices and Circuits*. Schaum's Outline. McGraw Hill, 2011.
- [3] Nicolas Collins. Handmade Electronic Music: The Art of Hardware Hacking. Routledge, 2009.
- [4] Mitch Gallagher. *Guitar Tone*. Cengage Learning, 2nd edition, 2012.
- [5] Dave Hunter. Guitar Effects Pedals. Backbeat Books, 2nd edition, 2013. 1st edition 2004.
- [6] Ajay Kapur, Perry Cook, Spencer Salazar, and Ge Wang. Programming for Musicians and Digital Artists. Manning Publications, 2015.
- [7] Jarmo Lähdevaara. The Science of Electric Guitars and Guitar Electronics. Books on Demand, 2012.
- [8] Peter Manning. Sound synthesis using computers. In Roger T. Dean, editor, *The Oxford Handbook Of Computer Music*, text 4, pages 085–105. Oxford University Press, Inc., 2009.
- [9] Max V. Mathews, Joan E. Miller, F. R. Moore, John R. Pierce, and J. C. Risset. *The Technology of Computer Music*. The MIT Press, 1969.
- [10] Zbigniew W. Raś and Alicja A. Wieczorkowska, editors. Advances in Music Information Retrieval. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [11] III. Smith, Julius O. Physical Audio Signal Processing. W3K Publishing, http://www.w3k.org/books/, 2010.
- [12] Andrew Carl Sorensen. Extempore: The design, implementation and application of a cyber-physical programming language. PhD thesis, Australian National University, 2018.
- [13] S. S. Stevens, J. Volkmann, and E. B. Newman. A scale for the measurement of the psychological magnitude pitch. J Acoust Soc Am, 8:185–190, 1937.
- [14] Jérôme Sueur. Sound Analysis and Synthesis with R. Use R! Springer, 2018.
- [15] Ge Wang. The Chuck Audio Programming Language. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 2008.
- [16] E. Zwicker. Subdivision of the audible frequency range into critical bands (frequenzgruppen). J Acoust Soc Am, 33(2):248, 1961.